

Table 4.1 Status of Paris Declaration indicators

	PDI-1. Operational development strategies Comprehensive Development Framework composite rating of national development strategy ^a 2005	PDI-2a. Reliable public financial management Benchmark rating of public expenditure management systems (0–15) ^b 2005	PDI-6. Avoidance of parallel project implementation units Number of existing parallel project implementation units 2005	PDI-11. Monitorable performance assessment frameworks Overall rating ^a 2005	PDI-12. Mutual accountability Independent assessment framework in place? 2005
SUB-SAHARAN AFRICA					
Angola
Benin	C	4	29	C	No
Botswana
Burkina Faso	C	4	131	C	No
Burundi	D	2.5	37	D	No
Cameroon
Cape Verde	C	3.5	10	D	Yes
Central African Republic
Chad
Comoros
Congo, Dem. Rep.	34	..	No
Congo, Rep.	D	2.5	..	D	..
Côte d'Ivoire
Djibouti
Equatorial Guinea
Eritrea
Ethiopia	C	3.5	103	C	Yes
Gabon
Gambia, The
Ghana	C	3.5	45	C	Yes
Guinea
Guinea-Bissau
Kenya	D	3.5	17	C	No
Lesotho
Liberia
Madagascar
Malawi	C	3	69	C	Yes
Mali	C	4	65	D	No
Mauritania	B	2	23	C	No
Mauritius
Mozambique	C	3.5	40	C	Yes
Namibia
Niger	C	3.5	52	D	No
Nigeria
Rwanda	B	3.5	48	C	No
São Tomé and Príncipe
Senegal	C	3.5	23	C	No
Seychelles
Sierra Leone
Somalia
South Africa	15	..	Yes
Sudan
Swaziland
Tanzania	B	4.5	56	B	Yes
Togo
Uganda	B	4	54	B	No
Zambia	C	3	24	D	Yes
Zimbabwe

Note: See technical notes for further details. PDI is Paris Declaration Indicator.

a. Ratings range from A to E, where A means the development strategy substantially achieves good practices; B means it is largely developed toward achieving good practices; C means it reflects action taken toward achieving good practices; D means it incorporates some elements of good practice; and E means it reflects little action toward achieving good practices.

b. Ratings range from 0 to 15 and indicate the total number of the 15 required standard benchmarks that a country has met. The higher the number the less system upgrading is required.